UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,014	03/10/2004	Tae-ahn Jahng	001227/1368	4949	
69095 7590 06/22/2009 STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, LLP 180 MAIDEN LANE			EXAMINER		
			HOFFMAN, MARY C		
NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/798,014	JAHNG, TAE-AI Art Unit 3733 e] N/A. o if an agreement agreed argument and argument argum	IN		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARY HOFFMAN	3733			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>MARY HOFFMAN</u> .	(3) <u>GIUSEPPE MOLARO</u> .				
(2) <u>JUDE PAGANELLI</u> .	(4) <u>DENNIS BUTLER</u> .				
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2009</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>Model of the invention was sho</u>	e) <u>□</u> No. <u>own</u> .				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Leone (US 5488761)</u> , schwartz (US 5573520).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \times N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed the pathe rejections</u> . The examiner indicated that she would be a further discuss the arguments. The examiner suggested that the formal response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend	orevious office action and preson onferring with her SPE and wat at Applicant follow-up to the in	sented argument ould contact App terview two wee	s regarding blicant to ks after filing		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
/Mary C. Hoffman/					